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HEARING OFFICER FOX: Good morning and welcome to this Illinois Pollution Control Board hearing. My name is Tim Fox, and I am the hearing officer for this rulemaking proceeding which is entitled Fast Track Rules Under Nitrogen Oxide Or NOx SIP Call Phase II Amendments to 35 Illinois Administrative Code 201. 146 and parts 211 and 217. The Board Docket Number for this rulemaking is RO 7-18. The Board received this proposal on April 6 of 2007 from the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency and accepted this proposal for hearing on April 19, 2007.

Let me make some quick
introductions of the persons who are present here from the board. To my left is board member Thomas E. Johnson and to his left at the end of the table is Anand Rao of the board's technical staff. To my far right is board member Nicholas Melas, and at my immediate right is Marie Tipsord who is assistant ttorney to the board's acting chairman, Dr. G. Tanner Girard. Member Johnson, did you have
any introductory remarks you wish to make?
MR. JOHNSON: Briefly I was going to welcome participants and the general public, but it appears I only need to welcome participants. I'm filling in for Andrea today. If, indeed there is a third hearing, I suspect she'll be back. So thank you for your participation, and I look forward to today's hearing.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: As member Johnson indicated, we are holding the second hearing in this rulemaking. The third hearing is now scheduled to take place on Monday, July 2, 2007 at I:00 p.m. in Springfield. This proceeding is governed by the Board's procedural rules. All information that is relevant and that is not repetitious or privileged will be admitted into the record. Please note that any questions posed today by the Board or by its staff are intended solely to assist in developing a clear and complete record for the Board's decision and do not reflect any prejudgment of the proposal. Under section
28.5G2 of the Environmental Protection Act, this hearing, quote, shall be devoted to presentation of testimony, documents, and comments by affected entities and all other interested parties, unquote. The Board received prefiled testimony on June 8 from a single participant in this proceeding, a Mr. James McCarthy on behalf of the group of natural gas transmission companies. Under Section 28.5G, that prefiled testimony is admitted into the record without reading. So we will begin this hearing with his prefiled testimony and the questions that other participants may have on the basis of that prefiled testimony.

As a last note for the court reporter who's, of course, transcribing our proceeding, I would ask that you speak as clearly as possible and avoid speaking at the same time as another participant so that she has as easy a task as possible in producing a transcript of this hearing.

Are there questions at all
about the procedures before we begin? Very
well. If we can begin, Mr. More, if you're prepared, we can have the corporate reporter swear in Mr. McCarthy. And if he wishes to make a brief statement, he certainly would be welcome do that, and we can proceed then with any questions that other participants may have.

MR. MORE: Josh More and Kathleen Bassi from Schiff Hardin on behalf of ANR Pipeline, Kinder Morgan, Incorporated, Trunkline Gas Company, Panhandle Eastern Company, Pipeline Consortium. We're here to present testimony from Jim McCarthy.
(Witness sworn.)

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. More, if I may interrupt, I'm taking things out of order. Miss Bassi has handed me a copy of the prefiled testimony of Mr. McCarthy. Should I construe that as a motion to admit that into the record as Hearing Exhibit No. 3?

MR. MORE: Please.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Is there any objection on anyone's part to the admission
of that prefiled testimony as Exhibit No. 3? Neither seeing nor hearing none, it will be so admitted and marked as Exhibit No. 3.

And, Mr. More, thank you for letting me interrupt you.

MR. MORE: We are not going to offer a summary of the testimony, so if you like, we can proceed with the questions.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very well. If
there is anyone present who wishes to pose questions to Mr. McCarthy on the basis of his testimony, if they'd simply raise their hand to be recognized, and for the first time please state your name and your affiliation. Ms. Doctors?

MS. DOCTORS: Rachel Doctors from the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. I do have one procedural question. I've got a number of questions of documents that have already been admitted into the record. Do you want me to -- I also have copies of them. We can readmit them as exhibits, or I can just refer to how they were in the Agency's initial proposal.

MS. BASSI: Are you planning to ask questions of our witness based on your documents?

MS. DOCTORS: It's documents he's referred to in his testimony.

MS. BASSI: Okay.
MR. MORE: I would ask then, it might expedite the process if you can just avail us with a copy.

MS. DOCTORS: Well, I was going to give copies. It's whether we wanted to mark them separately as exhibits or whether we just wanted to refer to them.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: For the sake of completeness of the record, if you've got copies, it probably would be best, if perhaps a little redundant, to admit them as hearing exhibits so that we're all precisely on the same page.

MS. DOCTORS: Are we ready to begin?
HEARING OFFICER FOX: Did you have a substantive question to pose?

MS. DOCTORS: Yeah, I've got questions.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Please proceed, Ms. Doctors.

MS. DOCTORS: Good morning, Mr. McCarthy.
A. Good morning.
Q. Are you familiar with Attachment 1 to the Board's order of May 24, 2007, and appendix $G$ to the Agency's regulatory proposal?
A. Just for clarification, Attachment 1
is the current version of the rule?
Q. Yes, it is. And I have -- That's what

I'm saying. I have copies of your board order. I just didn't know if you wanted me to pass them out.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: I think that would be helpful so that we're referring to the same document at the same time.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm familiar with the document.

BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. I would ask to -- We also passed out a copy of Appendix $G$, which I think the Board fully intended to include as part of Attachment 1 but did not. I don't know. But this is the list of affected units under Attachment 1. So I'd like to
have these marked as Hearing Exhibit 4 and 5 respectively.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Hearing the motion to admit the hearing officer order dated May 24 of 2007 as Exhibit -- Hearing Exhibit No. 4 and to admit the copy of Appendix G as Hearing Exhibit No. 5, is there any objection to the admission of those on the part of any of the participants? Neither seeing nor hearing none, they will be marked and admitted as such, Ms. Doctors. BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. Can you look at section 217386 of Attachment $A$. It's on Page 5.

MS. BASSI: You mean Exhibit 4?
MS. DOCTORS: Yes. It's on Exhibit 4,
but it's on Page 5 of Exhibit 4.
BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. Would you read this section into the record, please?
A. Section 217386 applicability: A stationary reciprocating internal combustion engine listed in Appendix $G$ of this part is subject to the
requirements of this Subpart $Q$.
Q. So the proposal before the Board today only applies to the units listed in Appendix $G$; is that correct?
A. Those are the applicable units under the rule. And I use that language because of provisions in the rule. It's possible that other equipment would be brought under the rule through emissions average.
Q. Do you know what size engines are included in Appendix G?
A. I am familiar with 25 of the 28 engines that I have worked with the natural gas industry on this rule and some of the earlier versions of the rule. And 25 of the 28 engines are operated by companies that I have worked with. So I'm familiar with those 25 engines. They range in size from, I believe the smallest engine is 2400 horsepower. The largest engine is -- It's over 10,000 horsepower. I think it's either 10 or 12,000 horsepower. But most of the engines are around 4 or 5,000 horsepower in size.
Q. Is there anything in Appendix $G$ that listed the threshold for regulatory applicability?
A. Not a threshold, no. It just lists the affected engines.
Q. So isn't it correct that the proposal before the Board today in docket RO7-18 only impacts engines that emit one ton per day or more as identified in the NOx SIP Call Phase II?
A. Yes. It's one ton per day or more based on the 1995 federal inventory.
Q. I'm going to now ask you some questions about low emission combustion, technology LEC, L-E-C. Explain how LEC technology works. A. The pollutant we're concerned with is nitrogen oxides, NOx. NOx from natural gas combustion is formed from nitrogen that's in the combustion air, and NOx formation is strongly dependent upon the temperature of the combustion process. So what LEC does is, in simple terms, it adds additional air to the engine. That additional air lowers the combustion temperatures, and by lowering combustion temperatures it lowers NOx. So that usually involves turbo charging the engine, or, if the engine already has a turbo charger, it will involve many times enhancing the turbo charger. With the additional air, sometimes the fuel air
charge will have difficulty lighting. So often there's a requirement to change the ignition system, so you put more energy into the system to light the charge. And it also sometimes includes changing fuel injectors so that you get better mixing in the engine cylinder prior to lighting the charge. And what those different facets all are intended to do is lower peak combustion temperatures, thus lowering NOX .
Q. Are you familiar with the phase NOX SIP called Phase II Rule as it appeared in the Federal Register on April 21, 2004?
A. I am.
Q. I would like to offer this as, I guess we're at hearing Exhibit 6?

HEARING OFFICER FOX: That's correct.
That's the next exhibit number.
MS. DOCTORS: It was Attachment A to the Agency's Statement of Reasons. I have six copies.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: For the record, Ms. Doctors has circulated copies of the Federal Register, Volume 69, No. 77 dated Wednesday, April 21 of 2004, which I would
construe as a motion to admit that as hearing Exhibit No. 6. Is that correct, Miss Doctors?

MS. DOCTORS: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER FOX: Is there any objection to the admission of that document as Hearing Exhibit No. 6?

Neither seeing nor hearing any objection, it will be marked and admitted as Hearing Exhibit No. 6 in this proceeding. BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. I'd like to direct your attention to Pages 21619 and 21620?

MS. TIPSORD: Nineteen and twenty?
MS. DOCTORS: Yes, the last two. I
think it's longer. It may repeat somewhere.
BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. Isn't it true that U.S. EPA states that 3 grams per break horsepower, or horsepower hours, how they say it, is achievable for most reciprocating engines with LEC retrofits? It appears in the center column on 619 about midway through that column.
A. I'm just looking for the specific text
saying most engines. My experience is that many engines can achieve three grams, but not all. So if you want me to read this and find that exact text, I can do that, but.
Q. Yes. Would you, please.
A. You said it's in the center column on 21619?
Q. Yes. It's under the heading Response. I'm sorry. I'm referring you -- I'm sorry. I am mistaken. It's on 620. I'm being corrected here, and it's in the first column.
A. I see where they say that 60 of the 79 tests that they had showed three grams or lower.
Q. Doesn't it also state that emission levels of 2.2 to 2.3 grams per break horsepower were found to be achievable?
A. For the specific engines and data that they looked at, they do show that 2.2 and 2.3 grams have been achieved.
Q. Then on Page 619, doesn't it state that the Interstate Natural Gas Association of America said that LEC retrofits are consistent with the costs identified by U.S. EPA's NOx SIP Call TSD? MR. MORE: Are you asking him just to
confirm that that's what it reads?
MS. DOCTORS: Yes.
MR. MORE: Are you in the middle paragraph?

THE WITNESS: I'm just reading the introductory text leading to that bullet. Yes. The record does state that INGA indicates that LEC retrofits are consistent with technology and costs in the NOX SIP Call TSD.

BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. Isn't it true that once LEC technology is installed that becomes an integral part of the engine operation?
A. Yes.
Q. So the only time it would be shut off, the LEC technology would be shut off, is when the engine is not running?
A. Correct. The technology can't be turned on and off. It's inherent to the engine once it's installed.
Q. What type of maintenance needs to be done on the LEC equipment?
A. I don't believe there's any specific

don't get into costs, we're not objecting to the applicability of the rule on an annual basis.

MS. DOCTORS: Oh, you're not? You're not objecting to the -- is that correct, that you're not --

MR. MORE: His testimony makes it pretty clear that we are not -- He says on Page 7, "In regard to the IEPA proposal under consideration at this hearing, the Pipeline Group does not object to the Subpart $Q$ proposal under consideration at today's hearing."

MS. DOCTORS: So there's no objection to the annual applicability of the rule?

MR. MORE: That's correct.
MS. DOCTORS: Okay. Then we will skip these questions.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Miss Doctors, if I may interrupt you briefly at this point. I want to note for the record that Exhibit 6, the Federal Register that you've circulated and admitted, does include some underlining, and at least on the top of Page 21620, a
handwritten reference, which is fine. I merely need to note for the record that those were not added by the board and do not constitute any board comments. So having made that statement, please proceed with any further questions that you wanted to raise. MS. DOCTORS: I'm sorry. MEMBER RAO: May I ask a follow-up question to your prior question?

Mr. McCarthy, on Page 6 of your prefiled testimony, the second paragraph you state that, "Despite the lack of an IEPA rule, the affected natural gas companies have proactively initiated reduction programs and compliance plans for units in Appendix $G$ of the proposal." Does that mean that all the 25 units that you're familiar with already have this LEC technology implemented on those units?

THE WITNESS: What it means is that for those 25 engines, LEC has either been installed or the companies have developed an averaging strategy where they're introducing either controls on those units or other units
to achieve reductions.
MEMBER RAO: And that could involve some costs to the affected companies?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MEMBER RAO: And are those costs consistent with what the estimates have been shown in TSD?

THE WITNESS: We've not looked at that level of cost detail.

MEMBER RAO: Okay.
MS. DOCTORS: I'm sorry. Just --
HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. McCarthy, if you could repeat your last answer. I believe Ms. Doctors had trouble hearing you.

THE WITNESS: The question was
regarding the costs. And my answer was we have not looked at, specifically, at the costs for activities underway with those 25 engines relative to the information that's -We didn't specifically say which information, but relative to cost information in the record.

BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. I'm just going to ask one follow-up
question. The reason -- I'll point out where the Agency's concern came from. It came from Page 7 of the testimony, the last sentence in the fourth paragraph that begins "second." Mr. McCarthy indicated that there was an additional burden because of the annual compliance requirement. So it is our understanding that you will not be filing an objection to the annual component of Attachment 1?

MR. MORE: That's correct.

MS. DOCTORS: Let me just look through what $I$ have. All right.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Doctors, do you or the Agency have any further questions for Mr. McCarthy?

MS. DOCTORS: Yes, we do. We just are trying to short-cut. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: No worries.
Please go ahead when you're ready.
BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. We have some questions concerning SCR technology that was referenced in your testimony. On Page 7 of your testimony. At the bottom of Page 7 , can you read the last sentence that begins "however," and then continues on to Page 8.
A. "However, to date, SCR has not been successfully applied to gas transmission units, and U.S. EPA has acknowledged this limitation."
Q. That's what my questions pertain to. Are you familiar with U.S. EPA's Alternate Control Techniques, ACT document, that was originally Attachment llC to the Agency's proposal?
A. Yes.
Q. And what I'm handing out is just the portion that deals with control technologies, or Chapter 5. And this would be marked as hearing, I think we're at 6.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: We are at 7 . MS. DOCTORS: We are at 7 .

BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. Okay. Can you please turn to Page 55. HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Doctors, if you don't mind, let's formally admit that. I'll construe your distribution again as a motion to admit the U.S. EPA Alternative Control Technique's document NOx Emissions From Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines as a motion to admit that document as hearing Exhibit No. 7; is that correct?

MS. DOCTORS: Correct.
HEARING OFFICER FOX: Is there any objection on the part of any of the participants?

MR. MORE: I would have just one clarifying objection. It's just a portion of that document. I'd ask that the record reflect that.

MS. DOCTORS: Right. Let's clarify.
It's just Chapter -- It's all of Chapter 5 entitled NOx Control Techniques.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: I appreciate the clarification. And that is, in fact, what's beyond the face sheet of what you've distributed, Ms. Doctors. Beyond that clarification, Mr. More, was there any objection on the part of the Pipeline to admission?

MR. MORE: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very well, it will be admitted as described as Hearing Exhibit No. 7 and so marked, Ms. Doctors. Thank you for letting me interrupt you.

BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. Are you familiar with this document?
A. I am familiar with it.
Q. Can you turn to Page 55.
A. Okay.
Q. Isn't it true that the ACT lists SCR as a feasible technology?
A. It lists SCR as a technology. I'm not sure if it -- how or if it passes judgment on feasibility.
Q. Isn't it true that Chapter 5 of this NOX technique lists a variety of control technology in addition to SCR?

MR. MORE: I'm going to just object.
Are you asking him to tell you what the
document says? The document speaks for
itself. And I'm not sure that he's qualified
to testify as to what it intends to say or
what it infers or implies.
MS. BASSI: May I add to that
objection? Moreover, he's testified that LEC
has been -- is, has been, or is being applied
to all of the engines that we're here
speaking for or they're involved in an
averaging plan. We don't understand the whole line of this questioning.

MS. DOCTORS: It goes to the statement in his testimony where he said $S C R$ couldn't be used at the bottom of Page 7 .

MR. MORE: I think he stands by that statement.

THE WITNESS: "Has not been
successfully applied to gas transmission units." I stand firmly by that statement.

MS. BASSI: It doesn't say all
engines. It says gas transmission units. BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. Why? Why do you feel that it hasn't been --
A. To my knowledge, there is only one installation in the U.S. that has occurred, and that installation was taken out of service after a couple of years because it wasn't functioning properly.
Q. Which installation was that?
A. It's in the south coast jurisdiction in California.
Q. What's the difficulty with SCR?
A. The problems - $S C R$ is the technology
that was originally developed for very large utility scale applications like coal.fired utility boilers, for example. And there's certain characteristics of those facilities that aren't necessarily the same sort of characteristics for a reciprocating internal combustion engine. One of the key technical requirements of $S C R$ is that you finely control the amount of ammonia that you inject into the exhaust. You add ammonia into the exhaust to make reactions occur across the catalyst to reduce the NOx. So one of the difficulties that has been seen and that is forecast if additional installation should occur is that the control for ammonia feed is not -- has not been developed sophisticated enough for application to the way an engine NOx emissions vary in the exhaust. You need to match ammonia to NOX and the NOx variability can introduce difficulties with controlling the ammonia feed rate properly. That's one issue.
Q. So basically you're saying it's because of the variable load nature of the --
A. It ties to load variability. Because as the load changes or the operating conditions of the engine change, the NOx changes on an IC engine.

An IC engine is internal combustion engine.
Q. Besides the one place in California, are you aware of whether SCR has been employed on any other gas engines?
A. I believe there's a -- I'm not sure which state, but $I$ believe there are one or two engines in the Eastern U.S. that have had SCR installed. I think one is in New Jersey. MS. BASSI: Are those gas transmission
engines?

THE WITNESS: They are not gas
transmission engines. They're in different applications. And, anecdotally, I've talked
to folks affiliated with those engines, and
they found many difficulties in getting the
SCR to work properly on those engines and
questioned whether it will continue to work over time.

BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. Now, is this also true for diesel
engines?
A. I can't really speak to diesel and $S C R$ because I've not worked closely with that application.
Q. Are you aware that HUGG has used this type of system at MIRATECH for gas pipeline?

MS. BASSI: Who?
MS. DOCTORS: HUGG, H-U-G-G?
THE WITNESS: I'm not, no. I have
heard of HUGG and MIRATECH, but I'm not familiar with that application. BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. Isn't it true that the provisions of Attachment 1 do not require the installation of any particular type of control technology?

MS. BASSI: What is Attachment 1?
Exhibit what?
MR. MORE: Exhibit 4.
MS. DOCTORS: That's Exhibit 4?
THE WITNESS: That's correct.
BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. Isn't it true that provisions of the proposal before the board today allow for the owner or operator to have discretion on the type of control equipment to install so long as the requirements of the rule are met?
A. That's true.
Q. So there's no requirement that anybody
install SCR?
A. Correct. But it is identified in the TSD for the rulemaking as a viable technology which is why it was mentioned in my testimony.
Q. Okay. Isn't it true, though, that when the TSD was written, it covered a broad range of engines besides gas transmission engines, pipeline engines?
A. Yes.

MS. BASSI: Can I ask a follow-up to
that line, please?
MS. DOCTORS: You don't have to ask me.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Miss Bassi.
MS. BASSI: Mr. McCarthy, are you
familiar with types of engines that are at the Phoenix Chemical Company which is also part of Appendix $G$, Exhibit 5?

THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with that company's engines. MS. BASSI: Is it possible at all that they might require $S C R$ or some other type of control technology than is being applied to the gas transmission engines?

THE WITNESS: Not knowing the engines, it's possible.

MS. BASSI: Thank you.
BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. On Page 8 of your testimony, didn't you -- on Page 8, the last paragraph, you make the statement that this TSD indicates that 5,000 per ton is the basis used for IS engines under the NOx SIP Call; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I'd like to refer you to Page -- This would be Hearing Exhibit 8. What I'm handing out is just a cover sheet from the Illinois EPA's Technical Support Document and a copy of Chapter 5 which dealt with cost effectiveness, and I'm marking it as Exhibit 8 and asking that it be entered, if there's no objection.

MR. MORE: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER FOX: we can certainly
wait until it's circulated to assure, Mr. More, that you don't have any objection.

And Ms. Doctors has moved to admit as Hearing Exhibit No. 8, Chapter 5 regarding cost effectiveness of controls from the
technical support document that was initially submitted with the rulemaking proposal in this case on April 6. Is there any objection to the admission of that as Exhibit No. 8? MR. MORE: No objection. HEARING OFFICER FOX: Neither seeing nor hearing an objection, it will be marked and admitted as Exhibit No. 8, Ms. Doctors. BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. I'd like to refer you to -- at the bottom of the page it says 40 , it's probably about the third page in, to Table 5-2. And there's also Table 5-3. Are these the tables you looked at when you were writing your testimony; is that correct?
A. My testimony is in reference to the paragraph on that page where it states U.S. EPA selected the $\$ 5,000$ per ton regulatory alternative as the basis for controlling rights.
Q. Isn't it true that the $\$ 5,000$ relates to U.S. EPA's evaluation of one of several regulatory alternatives under the first NOX SIP Call not under Phase II?
A. I wasn't familiar with that $\$ 5,000$ per ton cost threshold which was the basis for my
comment.
Q. Okay. Can I direct you to look at Table 5-2, the first column. What it is entitled --
A. Regulatory alternative.
Q. So in that column, doesn't it list a number of alternatives and costs associated with them?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. And isn't $\$ 5,000$ a ton the maximum?
A. It is the maximum in Table 5-2.
Q. And I'd like to refer you to Table 5-3
and the last number -- Oh, looking at the last column, what is the last column, the title of the last column?
A. Ozone Season Cost Effectiveness, Dollars Per Ozone Season Ton.
Q. And what is the cost effectiveness of the $\$ 5,000$ a ton alternative?
A. In Table 5-3 it's 1,215.
Q. And what level of control is that -does that correspond to? What level of reduction of NOX?
A. I don't know the answer to that question. This is the Agency's table.
Q. Okay. Well, I'd like to just refer you to the last sentence in the paragraph that you quoted.
A. Okay. The last sentence in that paragraph says that that refers to an average reduction of 90 percent from an uncontrolled 2007 baseline.
Q. And isn't it true that the proposal before the Board today relates to an 82 percent reduction of NOx?
A. That's true.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Doctors, I believe Miss Bassi has a question, if we may.

MS. BASSI: Ms. Doctors, on these
tables that you're referring so, there's a footnote -- or a reference to a Footnote 11. Is that included in the exhibit?

MS. DOCTORS: I do not believe so.
I'll have to --
MS. BASSI: Well, it seems to me that what you're doing here is -- We don't know where these tables came from on the basis of your exhibit. And the statement that Mr. McCarthy is referring to in his testimony
says U.S. EPA selected the $\$ 5,000$ per ton regulatory alternative, and it appears that what you're doing is pointing to these tables to make some point about the $\$ 5,000$ per ton, but we don't know if these are U.S. EPA numbers, we don't know if they're your numbers, we don't know where they came from. MS. DOCTORS: I direct the Board -- I don't have an extra copy of the TSD, but -actually I'm being directed by -- Was that in Chapter 5? I can direct you to -- I mean he quoted it. Your witness quoted the table. So, therefore --

MS. BASSI: My witness quoted a sentence

MS. DOCTORS: Right. Out of something that had a footnote, so you'd assume that he would --

MS. BASSI: No. The sentence is not a footnote.

MS. DOCTORS: Okay. In any case, the reference to where the numbers come from, Mr. McCarthy, I'd like to direct you to Page 37 of Chapter --

MR. MORE: I'm going to object on the grounds that you're -- It sounds like you're attempting to have Mr. McCarthy authenticate your document and lay a foundation for the accuracy of the information in here. Your witnesses could have very well done that. He's just regurgitating what the document says.

MS. DOCTORS: But he's taking issue with it, so.

THE WITNESS: I took issue with the quote of $\$ 5,000$ per ton as the U.S. EPA preferred alternative.

MS. DOCTORS: Okay. Just for the Board's reference, from the TSD it was Reference 11 in the Agency's TSD which comes from regulatory impact analysis for the NOx SIP Call and Section 26 Petition Volume 1 Costs and Economic Impact. It's Reference 11 in the TSD itself. So it's part of the Board's record already, in any case. We're ready to move on to some new questions.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: When you're
ready, Ms. Doctors, please do. BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. Are you familiar with the TSD that was prepared for the NOx SIP Call dated October 3 rd and originally part of the Agency's submittal, TSD Reference 12, and I have copies. This would be -Are we at $9 ?$

HEARING OFFICER FOX: That would be the next exhibit number, yes.

MS. DOCTORS: It's the whole document, I believe.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Doctors, before addressing the admissibility, would you please clarify more specifically what this document is and which rulemaking docket it originated with?

MS. DOCTORS: It originated in RO7-18, and it was reference 12 in the technical support document.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: You made a reference to CAIR.

MS. DOCTORS: I'm sorry. I misspoke. Excuse me. I'm getting -- the rules are merging.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: I am familiar with this document.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Before we proceed with any questions, Ms. Doctors, I assume that your circulation of this document entitled Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines Technical Support Document for NOx Sip Call dated October 2003 is a motion to admit that as Hearing Exhibit No. 9; is that correct?

MS. DOCTORS: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER FOX: Is there any objection to admitting that?

MR. MORE: Yes. The document appears to be incomplete. It is only even pages.

MS. DOCTORS: You're kidding.
MR. MORE: I suspect that's a function of double-sided --

MS. DOCTORS: Oh, no. Well, it is already part of the record. I do have a complete copy that I'm willing to share with the witness for the purpose of testimony. And I bet I even have an additional one. Do
you have one? I think we can come up with some more copies. I don't know that I have six, though.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: What $I$ would suggest is that since this was originally submitted and remains part of the Board's record in this docket, that if it's acceptable, Mr. McCarthy, to use the copy that Ms. Doctors has provided to you we can proceed to --

MS. DOCTORS: We'll give you one, too. I can get more copies. So there is at least three.

MR. MORE: I have no objection to this version being submitted.

BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. I just have one question. Please look at Page 34.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: May I interrupt you, Ms. Doctors. Mr. More has indicated that there is no objection to admitting this as Exhibit 9. If I keep this as the Board's exhibit number, will $I$ deprive you of a copy you need?

MS. DOCTORS: No. I have one.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Hearing no objection and seeing no objection, it will be marked and admitted as Hearing Exhibit No. 9 in this proceeding. And thank you for letting me interrupt you, Ms. Doctors. BY MS. DOCTORS:
Q. Please look at Page 34. Isn't it true that the average cost for reducing NOx using LEC is approximately $\$ 500$ per ton, according to U.S. EPA's analysis?
A. Yes. This particular U.S. EPA analysis indicates the average cost of $\$ 532$ per ton. Q. I have no further questions. MS. BASSI: May we have a moment, please.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Doctors, did you mean no further questions with regard to this --

MS. DOCTORS: No. I meant no further questions.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Miss Bassi, in response to your question in speaking briefly with Miss Doctors before hearing, she wished
to go off the record to have a brief conversation with her personnel before we proceeded any further. So if we may go off the record for a moment and allow the participants a chance to speak with one another, that would be great. Thank you. (Off the record.)

HEARING OFFICER FOX: If we may go back on the record, please. If the Agency has still completed its questions and there are no further questions it wanted to pose to Mr. McCarthy?

MS. DOCTORS: Not at this time.
HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good. I know there are two representatives of the board who had a question that they wish to pose. The first of them, Board Member Johnson had a question, I believe, for Mr. McCarthy. MR. JOHNSON: Mine will be brief. And I just want to sum up what I consider to be the most relevant statement in your testimony today and ask you if it's still the case. At the top of Page 7 you testified that, "In
regard to the IEPA proposal under consideration of this hearing, The Pipeline Group does not object to Subpart Q proposal under consideration at today's hearing." Is that still the case?

THE WITNESS: That is still the case.
MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Melas, Board Member Melas had indicated as well that he had a question. Mr. Melas?

MEMBER MELAS: This is just primarily curiosity question of mine. When you started describing the LEC process, you mentioned that the purpose is to lower the combustion temperature. Now, is it not true that the IC engines that are utilized by the gas pipeline companies do their heavy work in the wintertime when there's a great demand for the gas as opposed to the summertime?

THE WITNESS: That's true. Typically there's heavier load during the winter heating season than during warmer months.

MEMBER MELAS: And when you're trying to utilize LEC because of -- particularly in
the dead of winter when you're bringing in air temperature at a much lower temperature, it would make the process a lot more efficient and further reduce NOx than if you were dealing with temperature -- air temperatures of 85 or 90 .

THE WITNESS: That's true.
Environmental affects -- temperature and humidity impact the emissions performance.

MEMBER MELAS: And that's the time when you're more concerned about reducing excessive amount of NOx in the -- when the engine is working harder.

THE WITNESS: The engines typically run more during the winter season.

MEMBER MELAS: So if global warming doesn't catch up to us, you would be more able to meet the NOX requirements during that period of heavy production or heavy usage?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I just want to be careful of how I'm saying this, because some engines -- often what happens is you have multiple engines at a facility. So even when your load gas demand is lower, you still
might be running a single engine at a high load. In the winter, you might be running three engines or five engines at a high load. So there's that sort of balancing that goes on as well. But the ambient temperature point you made is still valid. Cooler temperatures help lower the peak temperatures which help lower the NOX.

MEMBER MELAS: Thank you.
MS. DOCTORS: Mr. Fox, I'm going to need one minute to confer with Mr. More and Miss Bassi before we go off the -permanently close the hearing. MR. MORE: We have no questions. HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good. MS. DOCTORS: But I still need to speak -HEARING OFFICER FOX: If we may go off the record. And, Ms. Doctors, you've indicated that you wanted to speak with some of the other participants, we will do that once again. Thanks.
(Off the record.) HEARING OFFICER FOX: We may go back on the record. What I would like to do first is verify that I believe that all of the board members and board staff have posed the questions that they wish to, the Agency has indicated that it has posed questions. Is there anyone else present who does have questions to pose to Mr. McCarthy on the basis of his testimony?

And seeing no indication that anyone does, I'd like to move on to address a few procedural issues before we can move to adjourn this hearing. In this Docket RO7-189 third hearing is now scheduled to take place on Monday, July 2, at 1:00 p.m. in Springfield, with a deadine of this Friday, June 22, for prefiling testimony. Under Section 28.5G3, quote, The third hearing shall be canceled if the Agency indicates to the Board that it does not intend to introduce any material, additional material, forgive me, closed quote. I had, as a procedural matter, spoken with Ms. Doctors about this very issue before hearing. Ms. Doctors, did you have anything
to add to that issue at all?
MS. DOCTORS: The Agency does not object to canceling the third hearing.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Is that a statement -- Is that a statement that you do not intend to introduce any additional material as the statute would allow you to do?

MS. DOCTORS: Correct.
HEARING OFFICER FOX: You would have no objection if the board, on the record and in a hearing officer order were to cancel the third hearing that's now scheduled to take place on Julie 2 ?

MS. DOCTORS: Correct.
HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very well. That clearly has been the Agency's intentions under 28.5 G 3 which have been plainly made clear on the record. The expedited copies of the transcript of today's hearing should be available to the Board by Thursday, June 21 , and very soon after they are available to the Board the transcript will be posted to the Board's Clerk's Office On Line or COOL which
is accessible through the Board's web site at www dot IPCB dot state dot IL dot US. Section 28.5L of the Act provides that, quote, following the hearings, which conclude with the second hearing today, of course, the Board shall close the record 14 days after the availability of the transcript. Since this proves to be the final hearing in this proceeding, the Board will, of course, consistent with that language, keep the record open for comment for that 14-day period. And in order to make that absolutely as clear as possible for the participants, when that transcript is available and when it is posted to the Board's web site, I will issue a hearing officer order that will let the participants know precisely the date on which that 14 -day period begins, and, of course, on which it ends. Participants may file written public comments in the meantime, of course, in this rulemaking with the clerk of the board and any comments or other filings may be filed electronically through COOL which I believe all of these
participants are familiar, and any questions about electronic filing should be directed to the Board's assistant clerk, John Therriault, who can be reached at direct number 312-814-3629. Filings with the Board whether paper or electronic, must be served on the hearing officer and on those persons on the serving list. And before filing with the clerk, you may certainly check with me as the hearing officer, to ensure that you have the most recent version of the service list. And if anyone has questions procedurally about other aspects of this rulemaking, they may reach my direct line 312-814-6085.

Are there any other
matters that need to be addressed at this time? Ms. Doctors?

MS. DOCTORS: The Agency would like to just indicate that they found -- that we have found and the Pipeline has identified and some other participants, including the Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group, have identified some typographical errors in the rule; and fairly shortly, maybe next week or
the week after, probably prior to receipt of the transcript, we'll be filing some kind of joint stipulation or a motion identifying these typos so the Board can work on final regulatory language.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good. The 14-day comment period, of course, has not yet begun to run since the transcript is not available. So we appreciate the notice that that may be forthcoming and certainly will get to the Board's attention in correcting any of those errors that you may highlight in that filing, Ms. Doctors.

Anything further on the part of any of the participants?

Before we do formally adjourn, I know I speak for the board members and the rest of the board staff in thanking you for your time, your effort, your appearance here today, your assistance in building a record. And we hope you travel safely, and thank you once again for all of your work and assistance. Thanks. We are adjourned.
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